



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,249	01/16/2002	Masashi Kiguchi	501.41069X00	3578
20457	7590 04/10/2003			
ANTONELL	I TERRY STOUT AN	EXAMINER		
	SEVENTEENTH STRE	VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN		
ARLINGTON, VA 22209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		mulication No.	<u></u>	Applicant(a)				
Office Action Summary		pplication No.	•					
		10/046,249		KIGUCHI ET AL.				
Onice Action Summa	-	xaminer		Art Unit				
TI MAN NIO DATE ALAbia	1	ail Verbitsky	shoot with the or	2859	dross			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on <u>22 Mar</u>	<u>rch 2002</u> .			•			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-fir	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
,— ,, — ,	4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	1 +0							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected		laction requiren	nent					
8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers	restriction and/or er	lection requirem	ICIII.					
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on i	•	d or b) objecte	d to by the Exar	niner.				
Applicant may not request that a								
11) The proposed drawing correction	on filed on is	: a) approve	d b) disappro	ved by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-		5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "contrivance" page 24, line 8, "acicular member" in page 21, lines 15, 26, and throughout specification should be corrected/ clarified. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: "a caspidal part of an acicular member" in page 21, line 15, 26 and throughout specification.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the "caspidal part of an acicular member" as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "caspidal part"

Application/Control Number: 10/046,249

Art Unit: 2859

must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 1-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: perhaps applicant should clarify in the claims what "caspidal" part is. Appropriate correction/clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In this case, "caspidal part of acicular member" has not been properly defined in the specification.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2859

Page 4

9. Claim 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In this case, the claim language is confusing due to the reasons stated above in paragraph 7.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related devices. It is not possible to apply the prior art of record to claims 1-11 due to the reasons stated above in paragraphs 6-9.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Verbitsky who can be reached at (703) 306-5473 Monday through Friday 7:30 to 4:00 ET.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-5473.

GKV

Gail Verbitsky

Patent Examiner, TC 2800

[Werles Tr

March 28, 2003